

**WATER QUALITY MONITORING AND FISH SALVAGE PLAN
FOR THE
GRAND RAPIDS PIPELINE GP LTD.
GRAND RAPIDS PIPELINE PROJECT (SPREADS 5 TO 8)**

**October 2015
8395**

Prepared for:



Grand Rapids Pipeline GP Ltd.
TransCanada PipeLines Limited
450 – 1st Street S.W. Calgary, Alberta T2P 5H1

Prepared by:



CH2M HILL Energy Canada, Ltd.
Suite 1100, 815 - 8th Avenue S.W. Calgary, Alberta T2P 3P2
Ph: 403-265-2885

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Project Description	1
2.0 WATER QUALITY MONITORING	8
2.1 Water Quality Sampling Rationale	8
2.2 Spreads 5, 6, 7 and 8 Crossings – Sampling Rationale	8
2.3 Water Quality Guidelines	9
2.4 Turbidity and Total Suspended Solids Monitoring	9
2.5 Water Quality Monitoring Stations	10
2.6 Data Logging.....	11
2.7 Manual Water Quality Monitoring.....	11
2.8 Total Suspended Solids Sampling	12
2.9 Standard Monitoring Activities for Horizontal Directional Drill Crossings	12
2.10 Safety Considerations.....	13
3.0 FISH SALVAGE	14
4.0 REPORTING	15
5.0 REFERENCES.....	16
5.1 Literature Cited.....	16

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Summary of Proposed Watercourse and Fish-Bearing Drainages Crossings Along Spreads 5 to 8 of the Proposed Pipeline Route	3
Table 2	CCME and AESRD Guidelines for TSS and Turbidity.....	9
Table 3	Example Sampling Transects Relative to the Crossing Location for a Small Watercourse.....	10

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Grand Rapids Pipeline GP Ltd. (Grand Rapids), in its capacity as general partner of the Grand Rapids Pipeline Limited Partnership, applied to the Alberta Energy Regulator (AER) pursuant to Part 4 of the *Pipeline Act* to construct and operate pipelines and associated installations, collectively named the Grand Rapids Pipeline Project (the Project).

The AER issued Decision 2014 ABAER 012 on October 9, 2014 granting approval for select Project applications, subject to the conditions outlined in Appendix 1 of the AER Decision. Condition No. 17 outlined the following water quality monitoring requirements:

“Where watercourses are not dry or frozen to bottom at the time of construction and where flowing water occurs, Grand Rapids must, through the use of a qualified aquatic environmental specialist, ensure that a water quality monitoring program is implemented during construction to monitor and confirm the effectiveness of the mitigation measures employed. This requirement applies to both horizontal directional drilling and isolated open cut crossings.”

TERA, a CH2M HILL Company (CH2M) was retained by Grand Rapids to prepare the following water quality monitoring and fish salvage plan for spreads 5 to 8 along the pipeline route to be constructed during summer/fall 2015. There are 21 watercourse crossings (WC29 to WC47) and two fish-bearing drainages (FD8 and FD9) along spreads 5 to 8 of the pipeline route. See Table 1 for further details regarding the watercourse and fish-bearing drainage crossings along spreads 5 to 8.

The objectives of this water quality monitoring and fish salvage plan are to provide:

- details on the conditions for determining if water quality monitoring is needed;
- general water quality monitoring sampling methods;
- turbidity and total suspended solids (TSS) monitoring methods;
- fish salvage methods; and
- reporting requirements.

Grand Rapids is committed to ensuring that all pipeline installations across watercourses are conducted in a manner that is efficient, effective and conducted in adherence with industry best management practices to minimize potential effects on the environment. Within Section 8.4 of the Green and White Area Environmental Protection Plans (EPPs) (Grand Rapids Pipeline GP Ltd. 2014a,b) for the Project, Grand Rapids commits to conducting “*fish salvage, in accordance with permit conditions, using appropriate methods and equipment*”, at all isolated trenched crossings. In addition, Grand Rapids commits to the development of “*water quality monitoring plans to monitor for sediment events during instream construction activities as required by the applicable regulatory approvals.*” This plan provides further details regarding where and how water quality monitoring and fish salvage activities will be conducted along spreads 5 to 8 of the Project.

1.1 Project Description

Grand Rapids is a corporation, incorporated pursuant to the laws of Alberta, whose business is the development and operation of pipelines and associated installations to transport hydrocarbon products and crude oil within Alberta. Grand Rapids is jointly owned by subsidiaries of TransCanada PipeLines Limited and Phoenix Energy Holdings Limited.

The Project will comprise one transmission pipeline system (made up of two parallel pipelines, described below), one lateral pipeline system (made up of two parallel pipelines, described below) and various associated pipeline installations.

The Project consists of:

- one approximately 460.5 km pipeline with an O.D. of 508 mm from the Grand Rapids MacKay Terminal to terminals in the Edmonton area (the 508 mm pipeline) to:
 - initially transport approximately 90,000 barrels per day (bbl/d) of blended crude bitumen from the Grand Rapids MacKay Terminal at NW 34-89-14 W4M, approximately 30 km northwest of Fort McMurray, to the Edmonton area at 8-5-53-23 W4M; and
 - subsequently transport approximately 330,000 bbl/d of diluent from the Edmonton or Heartland areas to delivery points in the west Athabasca oil sands area;
- one approximately 460.5 km pipeline with an O.D. of 914 mm from the Grand Rapids MacKay Terminal to terminals in the Edmonton area to transport approximately 900,000 bbl/d of blended crude bitumen from the west Athabasca oil sands area to the Edmonton and Heartland areas (the 914 mm pipeline);
- one 4.5 km, 610 mm O.D. pipeline to transport approximately 400,000 bbl/d of blended crude bitumen from the Grand Rapids MacKay Receipt Station to the Grand Rapids MacKay Terminal (the 610 mm lateral pipeline);
- one 4.5 km, 406 mm O.D. pipeline to transport approximately 200,000 bbl/d of diluent from the Grand Rapids MacKay Terminal to the Grand Rapids MacKay Receipt Station (the 406 mm lateral pipeline); and
- seven associated pipeline installations, which include two tank farms and five pump stations, located at the following five pipeline installation sites:
 - Grand Rapids MacKay Terminal, located at NW 34-89-14 W4M, which includes a tank farm and pump station;
 - Grand Rapids Thornbury Terminal, located at NE 29-79-14 W4M, which includes a pump station;
 - Grand Rapids Wandering River Pump Station, located at NW 19-73-16 W4M, which includes a pump station;
 - Grand Rapids Grassland Pump Station, located at NE 15-67-18 W4M, which includes a pump station; and
 - Grand Rapids Heartland Terminal, located at SE 28-55-21 W4M, which includes a tank farm and pump station.

This water quality monitoring and fish salvage plan has been prepared to support clearing and construction activities for the watercourses and fish-bearing drainages located along spreads 5 to 8 (SE 31-66-18 W4M to SW 4-53-23 W4M), which are scheduled for construction in summer/fall 2015. Construction of spreads 5 to 8 will encompass the pipeline route from approximately KP 264 + 600 to KP 460 + 500. This water quality monitoring and fish salvage plan outlines the procedures and standards for which water quality monitoring and fish salvage will take place, and demonstrates compliance with AER Condition No. 17 (Decision 2014 ABAER 012).

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED WATERCOURSE AND FISH-BEARING DRAINAGES CROSSINGS ALONG SPREADS 5 TO 8 OF THE PROPOSED PIPELINE ROUTE

Site No.	Name	Legal Location (W4M), UTM Coordinates (NAD 83, Zone 12) Latitude/Longitude (DD-MM-SS)	Watercourse Class and Restricted Activity Period ¹	Open Water Mean Channel Morphology (m)	Fish Species Captured or Observed During Open Water Assessment (Previously Documented) ²	Beaver Activity Present	Winter 2013 Results	Recommended Pipeline Crossing Method	Recommended Contingency Pipeline Crossing Method	Recommended Vehicle/ Equipment Crossing Method (Frozen)	Recommended Vehicle/ Equipment Crossing Method (Open Water)	Planned Pipeline Crossing Method	DFO Regulatory Requirement for Planned Crossing Methods	QAES Recommendations for Planned Pipeline Method	Comments
CONSTRUCTION SPREAD 5 (SUMMER) JUNE TO NOVEMBER 2015 AND JUNE TO NOVEMBER 2016															
WC29	Unnamed tributary to Pine Creek	SE 31-66-18 390159E, 6068580N 54° 45' 8.8" N/112° 42' 24.1" W	Unmapped Class C None	Bankfull Width: 2.0 Wetted Width: 1.9 Water Depth: 0.1	No fish captured or observed (lake chub, fathead minnow, brook stickleback previously documented in Pine Creek).	No	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 10 km upstream from Pine Creek.
WC30	Unnamed tributary to Flat Lake	SW 34-65-19 384330E, 6058936N 54° 39' 52.2" N/112° 47' 36.2" W	Unmapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 2.6 Wetted Width: 5.7 Water Depth: 0.5	No fish captured or observed (brook stickleback previously documented in Flat Creek and Flat Lake).	Yes - influencing water levels	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 5 km upstream from Flat Lake.
WC31	Unnamed tributary to Flat Lake	NE 8-65-19 381738E, 6052952N 56° 36' 36.5" N/112° 49' 52.1" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 10.1 Wetted Width: 18.8 Water Depth: 0.9	Brook stickleback (northern pike and brook stickleback previously documented in unnamed tributary to Flat Lake).	Yes - influencing water levels	Ice Depth: 0.3 Water Depth: 0.6 DO: <1.0 mg/L Flow: <0.1 m³/s	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Trenchless	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend water quality monitoring as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.Any instream work would require additional site-specific mitigation and should be further discussed with a QAES, DFO and AERSD.	Proposed crossing is approximately 5 km upstream from Flat Lake.
WC32	Unnamed tributary to Flat Creek	SW 30-64-19 379202E, 6047757N 54° 33' 46.4" N/112° 52' 5.7" W	Unmapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 0.8 Wetted Width: 2.1 Water Depth: 0.1	No fish captured or observed (brook stickleback previously documented in Flat Creek and Flat Lake).	No	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 1.5 km upstream from Flat Creek.
WC33	Unnamed tributary to Flat Creek	SW 19-64-19 379149E, 6046184N 54° 32' 55.5" N/112° 52' 6.3" W	Unmapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 1.4 Wetted Width: 1.2 Water Depth: 0.1	No fish captured or observed (brook stickleback previously documented in Flat Creek and Flat Lake).	No	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Trenchless	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 1.4 km upstream from Flat Creek.
WC34	Unnamed tributary to Flat Creek	NW 7-64-19 378517E, 6043658N 54° 31' 33.8" N/112° 52' 2.6" W	Unmapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 1.8 Wetted Width: 1.5 Water Depth: 0.1	No fish captured or observed (brook stickleback previously documented in Flat Creek and Flat Lake).	No	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 3 km upstream from Flat Creek.
WC35	Flat Creek	NW 25-63-20 376802E, 6038383N 54° 28' 41.2" N/112° 54' 5.2" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 2.8 Wetted Width: 12.6 Water Depth: 0.8	Brook stickleback (Brook stickleback previously documented in Flat Creek. Lake chub, fathead minnow, brook stickleback previously documented in Pine Creek).	Yes - influencing water levels	Ice Depth: 0.3 Water Depth: 0.5 DO: 2.9 mg/L Flow: <0.1 m³/s	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 35 km upstream from Pine Creek.

TABLE 1 Cont'd

Site No.	Name	Legal Location (W4M), UTM Coordinates (NAD 83, Zone 12) Latitude/Longitude (DD-MM-SS)	Watercourse Class and Restricted Activity Period ¹	Open Water Mean Channel Morphology (m)	Fish Species Captured or Observed During Open Water Assessment (Previously Documented) ²	Beaver Activity Present	Winter 2013 Results	Recommended Pipeline Crossing Method	Recommended Contingency Pipeline Crossing Method	Recommended Vehicle/ Equipment Crossing Method (Frozen)	Recommended Vehicle/ Equipment Crossing Method (Open Water)	Planned Pipeline Crossing Method	DFO Regulatory Requirement for Planned Crossing Methods	QAES Recommendations for Planned Pipeline Method	Comments
CONSTRUCTION SPREAD 6 (SUMMER) JUNE TO NOVEMBER 2015 AND JUNE TO NOVEMBER 2016															
WC36	Namepi Creek	SE 32-58-20 373368E, 5991088N 54° 3' 8.8" N/112° 56' 3.8" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to June 30	Bankfull Width: 12.5 Wetted Width: 16.0 Water Depth: 0.9	Fathead minnow, brook stickleback, unknown dace species (Brassy minnow, lake chub, river shiner, fathead minnow and brook stickleback previously documented in Namepi Creek. Rainbow trout previously documented near the confluence with the North Saskatchewan River).	Yes - influencing water levels	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend water quality monitoring as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 9 km upstream from the North Saskatchewan River.
WC37	Unnamed tributary to the North Saskatchewan River	SW 2-58-20 377427E, 5983162N 53° 58' 56.0" N/112° 52' 9.2" W	Unmapped Class C April 16 to July 31	Bankfull Width: 1.4 Wetted Width: 3.8 Water Depth: 0.2	No fish captured or observed. (Mooneye, walleye, shorthead redhorse, longnose sucker, white sucker, longnose dace, river shiner, trout-perch (lake sturgeon, mountain whitefish, rainbow trout, lake trout, burbot, northern pike, sauger, yellow perch, goldeye, emerald shiner, fathead minnow, finescale dace, flathead chub, goldfish, Iowa darter, lake chub, mountain sucker, northern redbelly dace, pearl dace, quillback, silver redhorse, slimy sculpin, spoonhead sculpin, spottail shiner and brook stickleback, previously documented in the North Saskatchewan River).	No	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 3 km upstream from the North Saskatchewan River.
CONSTRUCTION SPREAD 7 (SUMMER) JUNE TO NOVEMBER 2015 AND JUNE TO NOVEMBER 2016															
WC38	North Saskatchewan River	NW 36-57-20 378902E, 5982201N 53° 58' 26.2" N/112° 50' 46.9" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to July 31	Bankfull Width: 276.5 Wetted Width: 272.4 Water Depth: 1.4	Mooneye, walleye, shorthead redhorse, longnose sucker, white sucker, longnose dace, river shiner, trout-perch (lake sturgeon, mountain whitefish, rainbow trout, lake trout, burbot, northern pike, sauger, yellow perch, goldeye, emerald shiner, fathead minnow, finescale dace, flathead chub, goldfish, Iowa darter, lake chub, mountain sucker, northern redbelly dace, pearl dace, quillback, silver redhorse, slimy sculpin, spoonhead sculpin, spottail shiner and brook stickleback, previously documented in the North Saskatchewan River).	Yes but not influencing water levels	No winter data collected	Trenchless	Trenchless (redrill)	Access from both sides	Access from both sides	Trenchless	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend water quality monitoring as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.Contingency is to redrill.Any instream work would require additional site-specific mitigation and should be further discussed with a QAES, DFO and AERSD.	

TABLE 1 Cont'd

Site No.	Name	Legal Location (W4M), UTM Coordinates (NAD 83, Zone 12) Latitude/Longitude (DD-MM-SS)	Watercourse Class and Restricted Activity Period ¹	Open Water Mean Channel Morphology (m)	Fish Species Captured or Observed During Open Water Assessment (Previously Documented) ²	Beaver Activity Present	Winter 2013 Results	Recommended Pipeline Crossing Method	Recommended Contingency Pipeline Crossing Method	Recommended Vehicle/ Equipment Crossing Method (Frozen)	Recommended Vehicle/ Equipment Crossing Method (Open Water)	Planned Pipeline Crossing Method	DFO Regulatory Requirement for Planned Crossing Methods	QAES Recommendations for Planned Pipeline Method	Comments
WC39	Beaverhill Creek	SE 16-56-20 374185E, 5966679N 53° 50' 0.2" N/ 112° 54' 42.7" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to July 31	Bankfull Width: 8.7 Wetted Width: 7.4 Water Depth: 0.8	No fish captured or observed (white sucker, fathead minnow and brook stickleback previously documented in Beaverhill Creek).	Yes - influencing water levels	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP be conducted if water present at the time of construction.Recommend water quality monitoring as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 10 km upstream from the North Saskatchewan River.
WC40	Unnamed tributary to Beaverhill Creek	SW 8-56-20 372095E, 5965141N 53° 49' 8.6" N/ 112° 56' 34.7" W	Unmapped Class C April 16 to July 31	Bankfull Width: 5.8 Wetted Width: 5.8 Water Depth: 0.3	Brook stickleback (white sucker, fathead minnow and brook stickleback previously documented in Beaverhill Creek).	No	Ice Depth: 0.3 Water Depth: FTB DO: FTB Flow: FTB	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 3.5 km upstream from Beaverhill Creek.
CONSTRUCTION SPREAD 8 (WINTER/SUMMER) NOVEMBER 2014 to DECEMBER 2015 (36 INCH) AND APRIL 2015 TO APRIL 2016 (20 INCH)															
WC41	Astotin Creek	SW 22-55-21 364977E, 5958812N 53° 45' 37.4" N/113° 2' 53.7" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to June 30	Bankfull Width: 3.3 Wetted Width: 2.6 Water Depth: 0.3	Brook stickleback (white sucker, fathead minnow and brook stickleback previously documented in Astotin Creek).	No	Ice Depth: 0.1 Water Depth: FTB DO: FTB Flow: FTB	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 25 km upstream from Beaverhill Creek.
WC42	Ross Creek	SE 28-54-22 355910E, 5950988N 53° 41' 15.7" N/113° 10' 55.4" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to June 30	Bankfull Width: 3.8 Wetted Width: 3.8 Water Depth: 0.6	Fathead minnow, brook stickleback (white sucker, fathead minnow and brook stickleback previously documented in Ross Creek).	No	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 7 km upstream of the North Saskatchewan River.
WC43	Pointe-Aux-Pins Creek	SW 31-53-22 352119E, 5943492N 53° 37' 9.6" N/ 113° 14' 8.8" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to June 30	Bankfull Width: 4.2 Wetted Width: 5.7 Water Depth: 0.6	Fathead minnow, brook stickleback (longnose sucker, white sucker, fathead minnow and brook stickleback previously documented approximately in Point-aux-pins Creek. Northern pike previously documented approximately in Point-aux-pins Creek near its confluence with the North Saskatchewan River).	Yes – influencing water levels	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom (concurrent pipeline construction)	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Avoid construction on meander bend.Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 11 km upstream from the North Saskatchewan River.
WC44	Oldman Creek	SW 26-53-23 348337E, 5941965N 53° 36' 16.3" N/ 113° 17' 32.0" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to June 30	Bankfull Width: 3.1 Wetted Width: 10.0 Water Depth: 0.9	Longnose sucker, white sucker, brook stickleback (fathead minnow and lake chub previously documented in Oldman Creek).	Yes - influencing water levels	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom (concurrent pipeline construction)	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend water quality monitoring as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 2 km upstream from the North Saskatchewan River.

TABLE 1 Cont'd

Site No.	Name	Legal Location (W4M), UTM Coordinates (NAD 83, Zone 12) Latitude/Longitude (DD-MM-SS)	Watercourse Class and Restricted Activity Period ¹	Open Water Mean Channel Morphology (m)	Fish Species Captured or Observed During Open Water Assessment (Previously Documented) ²	Beaver Activity Present	Winter 2013 Results	Recommended Pipeline Crossing Method	Recommended Contingency Pipeline Crossing Method	Recommended Vehicle/ Equipment Crossing Method (Frozen)	Recommended Vehicle/ Equipment Crossing Method (Open Water)	Planned Pipeline Crossing Method	DFO Regulatory Requirement for Planned Crossing Methods	QAES Recommendations for Planned Pipeline Method	Comments
WC45	Unnamed tributary to the North Saskatchewan River	NE 22-53-23 347456E, 5941380N 53° 35' 56.4" N/113° 18' 18.9" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to June 30	Bankfull Width: 1.1 Wetted Width: 4.5 Water Depth: 0.4	No fish captured or observed (lake sturgeon, mountain whitefish, rainbow trout, lake trout, burbot, mooneye, walleye, northern pike, sauger, yellow perch, goldeye, longnose sucker, white sucker, mountain sucker, river shiner, emerald shiner, spottail shiner, fathead minnow, longnose dace, finescale dace, northern redbelly dace, pearl dace, flathead chub, lake chub, goldfish, Iowa darter, quillback, shorthead redhorse, silver redhorse, slimy sculpin, spoonhead sculpin, trout-perch and brook stickleback previously documented in the North Saskatchewan River).	No	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Trenchless ⁴	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend water quality monitoring as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 700 m upstream from the North Saskatchewan River.
FD8	Fish-bearing drainage	NE 21-53-23 346414E, 5940702N 53° 35' 33.4" N/113° 19' 14.3" W	n/a	Bankfull Width: n/a Wetted Width: 284.5 Water Depth: 0.4	Brook stickleback, fathead minnow (brook stickleback previously documented in the fish-bearing drainage).	No	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Access from both sides	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 1.3 km upstream from the North Saskatchewan River.
WC46	Unnamed tributary to Clover Bar Creek	SE 21-53-23 346006E, 5940591N 53° 35' 29.2" N/113° 19' 36.2" W	Unmapped Class C April 16 to June 30	Bankfull Width: 2.4 Wetted Width: 1.7 Water Depth: 0.9	Longnose sucker, white sucker, fathead minnow, brook stickleback (Brook stickleback previously documented at proposed crossing. Brook stickleback and fathead minnow previously documented in Clover Bar Creek.)	No	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 1.4 km upstream from the North Saskatchewan River. Watercourse may become subterranean downstream and not be directly connected to the North Saskatchewan River.
WC46.1	Clover Bar Creek	SE 21-53-23 345843E, 5940537N 53° 35' 27.5" N/113° 19' 45.1" W	Unmapped Class C April 16 to June 30	Bankfull Width: 4.9 Wetted Width: 9.3 Water Depth: 0.5	Brook stickleback, fathead minnow (Brook stickleback and fathead minnow previously documented in Clover Bar Creek.)	Yes - influencing water levels	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 1.5 km upstream from the North Saskatchewan River. Watercourse may become subterranean downstream and not be directly connected to the North Saskatchewan River.

TABLE 1 Cont'd

Site No.	Name	Legal Location (W4M), UTM Coordinates (NAD 83, Zone 12) Latitude/Longitude (DD-MM-SS)	Watercourse Class and Restricted Activity Period ¹	Open Water Mean Channel Morphology (m)	Fish Species Captured or Observed During Open Water Assessment (Previously Documented) ²	Beaver Activity Present	Winter 2013 Results	Recommended Pipeline Crossing Method	Recommended Contingency Pipeline Crossing Method	Recommended Vehicle/ Equipment Crossing Method (Frozen)	Recommended Vehicle/ Equipment Crossing Method (Open Water)	Planned Pipeline Crossing Method	DFO Regulatory Requirement for Planned Crossing Methods	QAES Recommendations for Planned Pipeline Method	Comments
WC46.2	Clover Bar Creek	SE 21-53-23 345680E, 5940333N 53° 35' 20.7" N/113° 19' 53.5" W	Unmapped Class C April 16 to June 30	Bankfull Width: 1.0 Wetted Width: 18.3 Water Depth: 0.8	Brook stickleback, fathead minnow (Brook stickleback and fathead minnow previously documented in Clover Bar Creek).	Yes - influencing water levels	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 1.6 km upstream from the North Saskatchewan River. Watercourse may become subterrain downstream and not be directly connected to the North Saskatchewan River.
WC47	Clover Bar Creek	SW 21-53-23 345494E, 5939871N 53° 35' 5.5" N/ 113° 20' 2.8" W	Unmapped Class C April 16 to June 30	Bankfull Width: 1.2 Wetted Width: 2.4 Water Depth: 0.6	Brook stickleback, fathead minnow (Brook stickleback and fathead minnow previously documented in Clover Bar Creek).	Yes – influencing water levels	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Trenchless ⁴	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 2 km upstream from the North Saskatchewan River. Watercourse may become subterrain downstream and not be directly connected to the North Saskatchewan River.
FD9	Fish-bearing drainage	SW 4-53-23 345266E, 5935471N 53° 32' 43.0" N/113° 20' 7.4" W	n/a	Bankfull Width: n/a Wetted Width: 18.4 Water Depth: 0.2	Small-bodied fish species observed (fathead minnow, river shiner and brook stickleback previously documented in fish-bearing drainage).	No	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Access from both sides	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 10 km upstream from the North Saskatchewan River.

Notes:

n/a

(not applicable), n/r (not recorded), FTB (frozen to bottom)

1

Determined from the *Code of Practice Management Area Maps* for Lac La Biche, St. Paul and Camrose (AENV 2006a,b,c).

2

FWMIS 2014.

3

A trenchless pipeline crossing method can be used at any proposed crossing.

4

An isolated open-cut trenched crossing method is considered acceptable by TERA Aquatic Specialists; however, a trenchless HDD method is planned due to engineering reasons.

2.0 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

The water quality monitoring sampling methods provided below have been designed to represent appropriate monitoring measures for both trenchless and trenched isolation watercourse crossing methods. If environmental conditions warrant adjustments to the water quality monitoring plan, the on-site QAES will define appropriate water quality monitoring plan refinements.

2.1 Water Quality Sampling Rationale

Water quality monitoring is needed where flow volumes and velocities are sufficient to transport sediment downstream of the construction site where suitable fish habitat is present. Water quality monitoring is not needed when the watercourse is dry or frozen to the bottom at the time of construction, or if there is insufficient flow to cause the downstream transport of sediment.

If water is present during construction at a watercourse, a qualified aquatic environment specialist (QAES) will determine if water quality monitoring is needed based on:

- the stream flow conditions (*i.e.*, flow volume and velocity) on-site during the proposed construction timing;
- the on-site water quality as it relates to fish health during the proposed construction timing;
- the quality and proximity of fish habitat within the zone of influence (ZOI); and
- fish species present downstream of the crossing location.

As part of the AER conditions in Appendix 1 of the AER Decision, Condition No. 16 outlines the following pre-construction assessment requirements:

“Grand Rapids through the use of a qualified aquatic environmental specialist must assess the status of all fish-bearing watercourse crossings at the time of construction to verify that the proposed crossing method remains valid.”

Information collected from these assessments will be included in the water quality monitoring report to be submitted following completion of watercourse crossing construction for spreads 5 to 8.

2.2 Spreads 5, 6, 7 and 8 Crossings – Sampling Rationale

Water quality monitoring is not recommended on any wetlands or the two fish-bearing drainages (FD8 and FD9) along spreads 5 to 8 due to their minimal flow velocities and associated lack of connectivity with downstream fish habitat; however, fish salvages may be required depending on the conditions found on-site during construction.

Typically, water quality monitoring is not needed on watercourses with a bankfull width less than 5 m due to reduced flows and limited fish habitat values. For the 13 watercourses in spreads 5 to 8 (WC29, WC30, WC32, WC34, WC35, WC37, WC40, WC41, WC42, WC43, WC46, WC46.1 and WC46.2) with bankfull widths less than 5 m and where water is present during construction, water quality monitoring is not needed since reduced flows and limited fish habitat are anticipated at these sites.

Watercourses with a bankfull width greater than 5 m have a higher potential for providing suitable overwintering habitat and may have sufficient flow to transport suspended sediment substantial distances downstream of the crossing location. There are three watercourses in spreads 5 to 8 (WC36, WC39 and WC44) with bankfull widths greater than 5 m. Water quality monitoring needs will be determined by a QAES prior to instream construction for these three watercourses. As much lead-time as possible will be given to the QAES in order to schedule appropriate activities. Confirmation of flow and suitable fish habitat will be conducted prior to setting up the water quality monitoring equipment. Please see Sections 2.6 and 2.7 for further information regarding the frequency of water quality monitoring sampling during instream activities and in the event of an increase in turbidity.

A trenchless crossing is planned on five watercourses along spreads 5 to 8: an unnamed tributary to Flat Lake (WC31), an unnamed tributary to Flat Creek (WC33), the North Saskatchewan River (WC38), an unnamed tributary to the North Saskatchewan River (WC45) and Cloverbar Creek (WC47). Water quality monitoring will be conducted at WC31, WC38, WC47 based on the anticipated stream flow conditions and fish habitat values present at these sites. Additionally, water quality monitoring at WC45 may be necessary if conditions at the time of construction could result in any frac-out events affecting the North Saskatchewan River, located 700 m downstream of the crossing location of WC45. Water quality monitoring is not necessary at WC33 due to anticipated lack of flow and suitable fish habitat.

Daily updates from the construction representatives regarding the drill progress, isolation progress and any notable construction events will need to be provided to the water quality monitoring crew on-site. Notable events that have the potential to influence turbidity will be documented and reported where necessary.

2.3 Water Quality Guidelines

To ensure the protection of aquatic resources during construction, provincial and federal guidelines have been established for both short-term exposure (*i.e.*, 24 hours) and long-term exposure (*i.e.*, between 24 hours and 30 days) to suspended sediment. Federally, the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) has developed guidelines for TSS and turbidity in the *Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for Protection of Aquatic Life* (CCME 2007). Provincially, Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development (AESRD) has developed guidelines for TSS in the *Surface Water Quality Guidelines for Use in Alberta* (AENV 1999). The CCME guidelines for TSS and turbidity and AESRD guidelines for TSS are provided in Table 2.

For isolated trenched crossings, increases in turbidity/TSS levels are expected during the installation and removal of isolation dams. These increases in turbidity/TSS levels typically return to background levels within the short-term exposure (*i.e.*, 24 hours) timelines; however, some increases may return to background levels within the long-term exposure (*i.e.*, between 24 hours and 30 days) timelines. Water quality monitoring will encompass these turbidity/TSS increases and will show when turbidity/TSS levels have returned to background levels.

TABLE 2

CCME AND AESRD GUIDELINES FOR TSS AND TURBIDITY

Duration of Exceedance	Water Quality Parameter Exceedance Level		
	CCME		AESRD
	TSS	Turbidity ¹	TSS
CLEAR FLOW PERIODS			
Short-term exposure (i.e., 24 hours)	Maximum average increase of 25 mg/L from background levels.	Maximum average increase of 8 Nephelometric Turbidity (NTU) from background levels.	Not to be increased by more than 10 mg/L over background value for acute and chronic aquatic life toxicity levels.
Long-term exposure (i.e., between 24 hours and 30 days)	Maximum average increase of 5 mg/L from background levels.	Maximum average increase of 2 NTU from background levels.	
HIGH FLOW PERIODS			
Short-term exposure (i.e., 24 hours)	Maximum increase of 25 mg/L from background levels at any time when background levels are between 25 and 250 mg/L. Should not increase more than 10% of background levels when background is greater than 250 mg/L.	Maximum increase of 8 NTU from background levels at any time one time when background levels are between 8 and 80 NTU. Should not increase more than 10% of background levels when background is greater than 80 NTU.	Not to be increased by more than 10 mg/L over background value for acute and chronic aquatic life toxicity levels.
Long-term exposure (i.e., between 24 hours and 30 days)			

Note: 1 Turbidity is measured in NTU.

2.4 Turbidity and Total Suspended Solids Monitoring

For watercourse crossings in spreads 5 to 8, turbidity will be measured on-site and the CCME guidelines for turbidity will be followed.

If no water quality impacting events (e.g., drilling mud release) occur during construction, TSS samples will not need to be collected. If a water quality impacting event (e.g., drilling mud release) occurs during construction, it is recommended that TSS samples be collected and analyzed, and a TSS/turbidity correlation be established. If an event occurs, water samples for TSS can be collected and sent to an accredited laboratory for analysis. Laboratory TSS data can then be correlated to turbidity data and a relationship can be established for the remainder of construction. There is no requirement to conduct TSS/turbidity correlations prior to construction.

A correlation between turbidity and TSS is often unique for each location or situation. Typically, this relationship is established by collecting samples over the range of TSS concentrations that occur during a sediment event while simultaneously recording turbidity readings. After the TSS sample analysis results are obtained from the lab, a relationship between TSS and turbidity can be determined. This relationship then allows turbidity results to be directly compared to exceedance criteria for TSS.

2.5 Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Water quality monitoring typically occurs within the established ZOI of a crossing. The ZOI is the reach of the watercourse that has the highest potential to be impacted from construction activities associated with a proposed watercourse crossing. The length of the ZOI is determined in the field based on a variety of factors (e.g., channel depth, flow velocity and channel morphology). The ZOI typically represents the area of the watercourse where 90% of the sediment load caused by construction activities is expected to fall out of suspension and be deposited (AENV 1999, Government of Alberta 2013).

Transect locations will be determined by a QAES and strategically located to help facilitate documenting the decay of any sediment mobilization events that occur as the distance downstream increases. Table 3 provides an example of the placement of transects relative to the crossing location for a small watercourse with a ZOI of 400 m. Larger watercourses with a larger ZOI may require additional transects. Transect locations will vary per site based on water velocity, water depth, wetted width, uniformity of flow and safety as well as other site-specific variables. For the North Saskatchewan River (WC38), transects will be set-up at approximately 200 m upstream from the crossing location (background), at the crossing location, and at approximately 100 m, 200 m, 400 m, 800 m, 1600 m, 3200 m and 6400 m downstream of the crossing location. Each transect will include a sonde, recording turbidity data at 10 minute intervals throughout the drill as well as manual sampling. The location, duration and magnitude of effects from increased turbidity will be augmented during daylight hours with manual sampling conducted simultaneously with sonde data. This set-up is designed to detect construction-related effects (e.g., drilling mud release).

To ensure adequate sampling and that the water quality monitoring crew can cover the entire circuit regularly during daylight hours, the number of sampling stations across all transects (except those upstream of the crossing) has been set at three, although this will be dependent on the site conditions and the discretion of the water quality monitoring crew.

TABLE 3

EXAMPLE SAMPLING TRANSECTS RELATIVE TO THE CROSSING LOCATION FOR A SMALL WATERCOURSE

Transect No.	Example Transect Relative to the Crossing Location	No. of Monitoring Stations at Transect	Water Quality Sampling Method
T1 (control transect)	100 m upstream of the crossing	One in the thalweg	Data sonde and manual sampling
T2	At the crossing	Three across the channel with one in the thalweg	Manual sampling at all three stations
T3	50 m downstream of the crossing	Three across the channel with one in the thalweg	Data sonde in the thalweg and manual sampling at all three stations
T4	100 m downstream of the crossing	Three across the channel with one in the thalweg	Data sonde in the thalweg and manual sampling at all three stations
T5	200 m downstream of the crossing	Three across the channel with one in the thalweg	Data sonde in the thalweg and manual sampling at all three stations
T6 (if necessary)	400 m downstream of the crossing	Three across the channel with one in the thalweg	Data sonde in the thalweg and manual sampling at all three stations

As T1 (*i.e.*, upstream of the crossing) is assumed to be the least likely of these transects to be affected by construction activities, turbidity and TSS data collected here will serve as a control and will represent the theoretical background levels throughout construction. As such, the establishment of a single monitoring station where access is most convenient for the sampling crew (*e.g.*, along either bank) will be sufficient. By sampling within the thalweg, a single station at T1 will also sufficiently capture background turbidity and TSS levels.

Conditions permitting, monitoring stations may be maintained at each of the remaining transects (*i.e.*, one in the thalweg and one on either side of the thalweg at approximately half the remaining distance to each bank). In theory, this should allow the magnitude and duration of mobilized sediment (regardless of where it occurs) to be tracked at multiple locations downstream within the expected ZOI. The number of monitoring stations may increase depending on wetted width and fish habitat present at the time of construction.

Data sondes and manual sampling stations will be established as indicated in Table 3. One data sonde should be installed upstream from the crossing site, preferably at T1. The remaining sondes will be installed downstream from the crossing. Downstream from the crossing, the sondes will be installed in the sampling station associated with the channel's thalweg. It is expected that the sondes will be anchored in place either to the left or right bank, or to the watercourse bed.

If a transect cannot be established in the area as described in this plan, it is expected that the transect will be moved to another suitable location. If a monitoring station cannot be established along a transect in the area as described in this plan, it is expected that the station(s) will be moved to another suitable location along the same transect and will remain the same distance from the right-of-way. Rationale for moving transect(s) and/or station(s) will be documented and included in reporting.

The water quality monitoring crew will determine the most appropriate method of travel on-site. Since the crew may be travelling by foot or boat, it is realistic to expect that two or three manual monitoring passes will be possible under normal monitoring circumstances. In the interest of crew safety, monitoring crew(s) will only be on-site during daylight hours, regardless of whether HDD construction occurs around the clock.

2.6 Data Logging

Sampling for turbidity will primarily occur with the use of data sondes secured at several sampling transects. Each sonde will be programmed to record turbidity in NTU at 10-minute intervals on a 24-hour basis. The monitoring crew will access and upload data collected at least once a day during daylight hours. In addition, at least one of the data sondes may be equipped with a satellite telemetry unit, enabling the near "real time" remote observation of monitoring data from this location. Data from this station can be made available to construction management, environmental inspection and Project management staff for *in situ* updates during the construction period. Consideration will also be given to ensuring that the unit includes an alarm feature that will provide a warning to the night shift, enabling the Environmental Inspector, construction and monitoring staff to evaluate the situation/conditions and respond appropriately (*i.e.*, at the discretion of the Environmental Inspector).

2.7 Manual Water Quality Monitoring

Supplemental manual water quality monitoring will also occur at each station using a hand-held turbidity meter. Manual water quality monitoring will be used to verify data sonde readings and to supplement data loggers at high priority areas based on the construction schedule or in the event of a frac-out.

Manual sampling will occur in daylight hours only during normal construction activities. A water quality monitoring crew will not be present during the night shift, unless specified by Grand Rapids. Data collected by the sondes during the night shift will enable the collection of data around the clock, but will also alleviate potential safety risks associated with having a crew in/around the watercourse at night. If an emergency arises (*i.e.*, frac-out) during the night shift, the water quality monitoring crew may be summoned to the site at the discretion of the Environmental Inspector.

When collecting water samples for turbidity analysis, the scoop to collect water will be triple-rinsed prior to sample collection. The sample will be collected from 60% depth. The sampling cuvette will be rinsed with deionized or distilled water between samples. The cuvette will be filled from the scoop with no rinses to prevent settling of the sediment and will be cleaned with a lint-free cloth before being placed into the meter

to obtain a turbidity measurement. Turbidity measurements will be taken immediately once the water is poured into the cuvette to limit particle settlement. Samples that are not measured quickly will be mixed prior to obtaining a turbidity reading.

2.8 Total Suspended Solids Sampling

Throughout the construction period, if a frac-out or exceedance event is suspected, the water quality monitoring crew will collect approximately 30 TSS samples per watercourse for submission to an approved laboratory for analysis of TSS levels. TSS samples will be collected from all transects and station locations and over a range of turbidity levels that are observed.

Only sealed sample bottles provided by a laboratory will be used to collect TSS samples. Once the sample bottle is filled with water, a subsample will be poured into a sampling cuvette and turbidity will be measured. Once this value is recorded, it will also be written on the sample bottle along with the date, time and location of the sample, the sample identification number, crew initials, and the name of the watercourse from which it was taken. TSS water samples will be refrigerated immediately and transported to an approved laboratory for analyses as soon as possible, and in accordance with the laboratory's chain of custody form (additional storage and transportation requirements may be provided by the laboratory when the sample bottles are provided).

2.9 Standard Monitoring Activities for Horizontal Directional Drill Crossings

The following standard monitoring activities will be used to ensure a potential frac-out event is identified as quickly as possible, and any potential effects to aquatic and riparian resources from a frac-out event can be mitigated at trenchless crossings.

- Prior to commencing any drilling operations, the Crossing Contractor will clearly flag the entire expected drill path, including both sides of the watercourse channel, for quick reference in the event of a potential fracture.
- Grand Rapids or the Crossing Contractor will provide to the water quality monitoring crew the Directional Drilling Procedures and Instream Drilling Mud Release Contingency Plan that explains the specific tasks that will be completed if fluid loss is detected, or should an inadvertent release of drilling fluid occur.
- During the pilot hole drilling and reaming phases, and in addition to water quality monitoring, the Crossing Contractor will also monitor for the loss of drilling fluid. This will consist of closely scrutinizing the amount of fluid returns coming to the drill pit or shaker tank and monitoring the amount of make-up drilling fluid required in the mixing tanks.
- During the pilot hole drilling and any subsequent phases, the Crossing Contractor will implement and follow Annular Pressure Monitoring Protocol (AP). AP would be used to measure the pressure between the wall of the bore hole and the drill pipe in the bore hole. The pressure during the drill will be modelled prior to any drilling activities. The drilling will then proceed by adhering to this pre-determined pressure profile. The AP will be followed during the entire drill in the event that a pressure loss and/or a pressure spike are observed. The Crossing Contractor will make the necessary changes to the drilling activities to ensure that pressures keep within the pre-calculated pressure profile.
- An Electronic Drilling Recorder will also document all drilling activities and mud volumes. This information will be documented on a continuous basis and be maintained by the drilling personnel in order to correlate drilling status with potential seepage events.
- Onshore monitoring of the drill path will be conducted by the Crossing Contractor's personnel, who will be positioned in the most advantageous locations to watch for any sign of surface migration at all times during drilling, reaming and pipe installation procedures. The Crossing Contractor will provide personnel to walk the drill path and its vicinity on each side of the alignment after every 100 m drilled, and/or at 4-hour intervals during drilling operations unless safety concerns require the frequency to be altered to monitor for surface seepage.
- A detailed observation sheet will be kept on-site for all onshore monitoring of the HDD bore path.

- The Crossing Contractor will provide all monitoring documentation (*i.e.*, pressures, mud volumes and onshore) to the on-site Environmental Inspector daily or as requested.
- A named Crossing Contractor representative responsible for drilling will be on-site at all times during drilling, reaming and pipe installation procedures to ensure that all preventative measures, and, if necessary, emergency response measures, are implemented effectively.
- This Crossing Contractor representative will keep the on-site Environmental Inspector informed of the drilling program status on a daily basis in writing.
- Visual and voice contact will be maintained at all times between all drilling and monitoring personnel to ensure that any operational changes are communicated immediately and effectively between the observation personnel and drilling rig operators. Hand-held two-way radio communications provided by the Crossing Contractor will also be utilized.
- Contingency plans for monitoring and communications, reporting, clean-up and continuance of drilling activities will be in place for addressing inadvertent mud returns.
- In the event of sediment releases or spills of deleterious substances during the construction of the trenchless crossings, implement the Directional Drilling Procedures and Instream Drilling Mud Release Contingency Plan (Appendix 1E of the EPP). The Crossing Contractor will alert the on-site Environmental Inspector and conduct a detailed examination of the drill path.
- The Crossing Contractor and/or Construction Contractor will have additional personnel available to assist in emergency response implementation. Water quality monitoring personnel are expected to continue water quality monitoring so they can document the impacts and extent of the frac-out, and will not be used to supplement crews implementing the emergency response.

2.10 Safety Considerations

The water quality monitoring crew at each watercourse will be comprised of two CH2M staff. CH2M staff will be Aquatic Specialists deployed from Calgary who are certified Swift Water Rescue Technicians. The water quality monitoring crew at the North Saskatchewan River crossing will be certified pleasure craft operators with jetboat training. If the monitoring crew at any point detects unsafe conditions, adjustments to the monitoring plan will occur on-site and as conditions permit.

CH2M's Standard Operating Procedures for conducting water quality monitoring during open water and frozen conditions may also need to be approved by Grand Rapids and the Construction Manager before water quality monitoring activities occur. The aquatic environment surrounding the crossing location will be visited immediately prior to construction to assess conditions and/or to install appropriate safety measures/techniques to mitigate potential hazards. If the water quality monitoring crew is deployed to the site and they confirm that safe open water conditions exist, the water quality monitoring program will be implemented. If unsafe conditions cannot be mitigated to enable the implementation of the water quality monitoring plan as provided, adjustments to the plan will be made on-site and as conditions permit. If water quality monitoring is not possible due to safety concerns, the Environmental Inspector will notify applicable regulatory agencies.

3.0 FISH SALVAGE

The fish salvage crew at each watercourse will be comprised of two CH2M staff. These staff will be Aquatic Specialists deployed from Calgary who are certified Swift Water Rescue Technicians and electrofishing crew leads and members. The fish salvages will be conducted by the water quality monitoring crew (led by a QAES) under the terms of the Project Fish Research License (FRL).

A fish salvage will be conducted at trenched crossings at all watercourses and fish-bearing drainages if water is present at the time of construction. Following the installation of the isolation dams and when directed by the construction team that it is safe to do so, the water quality monitoring crew will proceed to capture and relocate the fish from the isolated work area. The water quality monitoring crew will determine the most appropriate method of capturing fish from within the isolated area to ensure fish can be captured and relocated without harm. Capturing methods may involve backpack electrofishing, seine netting and/or dip-netting. Electrofishing will be conducted by trained personnel using a Smith Root backpack electrofisher under the appropriate settings and in adherence to the Alberta Fisheries Management Division *Electrofishing Policy Respecting Injuries to Fish*. If injuries/casualties occur, the appropriate documenting and reporting will also occur. Salvage efforts within the isolated area of the crossing will occur until effort no longer yields the capture of fish, at the discretion of the on-site QAES.

Water levels may need to be lowered to allow for the effective capture of all fish in the isolated work area. The water quality monitoring crew will communicate with the construction team to lower water levels as needed until all fish have been removed from the isolation. Grey water that is pumped out of the isolated work area will be pumped onto land so that it will not introduce sediment into the watercourse and fish screens will be used on the intake hose to avoid harming fish.

Any fish captured will be held live in buckets or tubs with ample fresh stream water for short periods in order to identify, enumerate and measure them, as per the requirements of the FRL, prior to release. If fish sampling efforts produce greater than 100 fish of the same non-sportfish species, an enumerated subsample of that species will be taken. Fish will be released downstream of the construction site into similar habitat from which they were captured.

If a watercourse crossing is isolated for more than 14 consecutive days, a QAES will conduct a migration survey to determine if fish are congregating near the isolation and attempting to migrate upstream or downstream. If fish are congregating, it is recommended that they be moved around the isolation following the above fish salvage protocol in order to continue their migration.

4.0 REPORTING

As part of the AER conditions outlined in Appendix 1 of the AER Decision, Condition No. 18 of AER Decision 2014 ABAER 012 includes immediate reporting to the AER in the event a suspended solids exceedance occurs:

“Any exceedance of AESRD’s suspended sediment thresholds must be reported to AER immediately and appropriate mitigation measures must be implemented.”

In the event that any of AESRD's TSS or turbidity thresholds are exceeded, or in the event that unforeseen circumstances or issues are encountered during construction, a detailed report will be prepared and provided to the appropriate regulatory agencies within prescribed timelines (e.g., within 7 days to the province), as required. Turbidity and TSS results will be correlated to the sequence of construction activities so that resultant effects on water quality, if any, can be determined and quantified. Potential adverse effects of sediment suspension during construction will be discussed in the context of the duration, magnitude and distance of travel of the plume(s).

In addition to immediate reporting, CH2M will prepare a summary report for Grand Rapids upon the completion of the water quality monitoring and fish salvage of the crossings constructed along spreads 5 to 8 of the pipeline route.

5.0 REFERENCES

5.1 Literature Cited

- Alberta Energy Regulator. 2014. Decision 2014 ABAER 012: Grand Rapids Pipeline GP Ltd., Applications for the Grand Rapids Pipeline Project. Calgary, AB.
- Alberta Environment. 1999. Surface Water Quality Guidelines for Use in Alberta. Environmental Assurance Division, Science and Standards Branch, Alberta Environment. Edmonton, AB. Publication T/483.
- Alberta Environment. 2006a. Lac La Biche Management Area. *Water Act* Code of Practice Management Area Maps. Prepared by Alberta Environment and Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Finance and Administration Division, Resource Information Management Branch, Government of Alberta.
- Alberta Environment. 2006b. St. Paul Management Area. *Water Act* Code of Practice Management Area Maps. Prepared by Alberta Environment and Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Finance and Administration Division, Resource Information Management Branch, Government of Alberta.
- Alberta Environment. 2006c. Camrose Management Area. *Water Act* Code of Practice Management Area Maps. Prepared by Alberta Environment and Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Finance and Administration Division, Resource Information Management Branch, Government of Alberta.
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. 2007. Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary Table. Update 7.1 in Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. Winnipeg, MB.
- Fisheries and Wildlife Management Information System. 2014. Fish and Wildlife Service, Alberta Sustainable Resource Development. Website:
https://maps.srd.alberta.ca/FWIMT_Pub/default.aspx?Viewer=FWIMT_Pub.
Accessed: October 2014.
- Government of Alberta. 2013. *Code of Practice for Watercourse Crossings*. Government of Alberta.
- Grand Rapids Pipeline GP Ltd. 2014a. Environmental Protection Plan for the Proposed Grand Rapids Pipeline Project (Green Area). Calgary, AB.
- Grand Rapids Pipeline GP Ltd. 2014b. Environmental Protection Plan for the Proposed Grand Rapids Pipeline Project (White Area). Calgary, AB.