

**WATER QUALITY MONITORING AND FISH SALVAGE PLAN
FOR THE
GRAND RAPIDS PIPELINE GP LTD.
GRAND RAPIDS PIPELINE PROJECT (SPREADS 3B AND 4)**

**October 2015
8395**

Prepared for:



Grand Rapids Pipeline GP Ltd.
TransCanada PipeLines Limited
450 – 1st Street S.W. Calgary, Alberta T2P 5H1

Prepared by:



CH2M HILL Energy Canada, Ltd.
Suite 1100, 815 - 8th Avenue S.W. Calgary, Alberta T2P 3P2
Ph: 403-265-2885

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Project Description	1
2.0 WATER QUALITY MONITORING	5
2.1 Water Quality Sampling Rationale	5
2.2 Spread 3b and 4 Crossings – Sampling Rationale	5
2.3 Water Quality Guidelines	6
2.4 Turbidity and Total Suspended Solids Monitoring	6
2.5 Water Quality Monitoring Stations	7
2.6 Data Logging.....	8
2.7 Manual Water Quality Monitoring.....	8
2.8 Total Suspended Solids Sampling	8
2.9 Standard Monitoring Activities for Horizontal Directional Drill Crossings	9
2.10 Safety Considerations.....	10
3.0 FISH SALVAGE	11
4.0 REPORTING	12
5.0 REFERENCES.....	13
5.1 Literature Cited.....	13

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Summary of Proposed Watercourse and Fish-Bearing Drainages Crossings Along Spreads 3b and 4 of the Proposed Pipeline Route	3
Table 2	CCME and AESRD Guidelines for TSS and Turbidity.....	6
Table 3	Example Sampling Transects Relative to the Crossing Location for a Small Watercourse.....	7

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Grand Rapids Pipeline GP Ltd. (Grand Rapids), in its capacity as general partner of the Grand Rapids Pipeline Limited Partnership, applied to the Alberta Energy Regulator (AER) pursuant to Part 4 of the *Pipeline Act* to construct and operate pipelines and associated installations, collectively named the Grand Rapids Pipeline Project (the Project).

The AER issued Decision 2014 ABAER 012 on October 9, 2014 granting approval for select Project applications, subject to the conditions outlined in Appendix 1 of the AER Decision. Condition No. 17 outlined the following water quality monitoring requirements:

“Where watercourses are not dry or frozen to bottom at the time of construction and where flowing water occurs, Grand Rapids must, through the use of a qualified aquatic environmental specialist, ensure that a water quality monitoring program is implemented during construction to monitor and confirm the effectiveness of the mitigation measures employed. This requirement applies to both horizontal directional drilling and isolated open cut crossings.”

TERA, a CH2M HILL Company (TERA) was retained by Grand Rapids to prepare the following water quality monitoring and fish salvage plan for spreads 3b and 4 along the proposed pipeline route to be constructed during winter 2014/2015. There are eight watercourse crossings (WC21 to WC28) and two fish-bearing drainages (FD6 and FD7) along spreads 3b and 4 of the proposed pipeline route. See Table 1 for further details regarding the watercourse and fish-bearing drainage crossings along spreads 3b and 4.

The objectives of this water quality monitoring and fish salvage plan are to provide:

- details on the conditions for determining if water quality monitoring is needed;
- general water quality monitoring sampling methods;
- turbidity and total suspended solids (TSS) monitoring methods;
- fish salvage methods; and
- reporting requirements.

Grand Rapids is committed to ensuring that all pipeline installations across watercourses are conducted in a manner that is efficient, effective and conducted in adherence with industry best management practices to minimize potential effects on the environment. Within Section 8.4 of the Green and White Area Environmental Protection Plans (EPPs) (Grand Rapids Pipeline GP Ltd. 2014a,b) for the Project, Grand Rapids commits to conducting “*fish salvage, in accordance with permit conditions, using appropriate methods and equipment*”, at all isolated trenched crossings. In addition, Grand Rapids commits to the development of “*water quality monitoring plans to monitor for sediment events during instream construction activities as required by the applicable regulatory approvals.*” This plan provides further details regarding where and how water quality monitoring and fish salvage activities will be conducted along spreads 3b and 4 of the Project.

1.1 Project Description

Grand Rapids is a corporation, incorporated pursuant to the laws of Alberta, whose business is the development and operation of pipelines and associated installations to transport hydrocarbon products and crude oil within Alberta. Grand Rapids is jointly owned by subsidiaries of TransCanada PipeLines Limited and Phoenix Energy Holdings Limited.

The Project will comprise one transmission pipeline system (made up of two parallel pipelines, described below), one lateral pipeline system (made up of two parallel pipelines, described below) and various associated pipeline installations.

The Project consists of:

- one approximately 460.5 km pipeline with an O.D. of 508 mm from the Grand Rapids MacKay Terminal to terminals in the Edmonton area (the 508 mm pipeline) to:
 - initially transport approximately 90,000 barrels per day (bbl/d) of blended crude bitumen from the Grand Rapids MacKay Terminal at NW 34-89-14 W4M, approximately 30 km northwest of Fort McMurray, to the Edmonton area at 8-5-53-23 W4M; and
 - subsequently transport approximately 330,000 bbl/d of diluent from the Edmonton or Heartland areas to delivery points in the west Athabasca oil sands area;
- one approximately 460.5 km pipeline with an O.D. of 914 mm from the Grand Rapids MacKay Terminal to terminals in the Edmonton area to transport approximately 900,000 bbl/d of blended crude bitumen from the west Athabasca oil sands area to the Edmonton and Heartland areas (the 914 mm pipeline);
- one 4.5 km, 610 mm O.D. pipeline to transport approximately 400,000 bbl/d of blended crude bitumen from the Grand Rapids MacKay Receipt Station to the Grand Rapids MacKay Terminal (the 610 mm lateral pipeline);
- one 4.5 km, 406 mm O.D. pipeline to transport approximately 200,000 bbl/d of diluent from the Grand Rapids MacKay Terminal to the Grand Rapids MacKay Receipt Station (the 406 mm lateral pipeline); and
- seven associated pipeline installations, which include two tank farms and five pump stations, located at the following five pipeline installation sites:
 - Grand Rapids MacKay Terminal, located at NW 34-89-14 W4M, which includes a tank farm and pump station;
 - Grand Rapids Thornbury Terminal, located at NE 29-79-14 W4M, which includes a pump station;
 - Grand Rapids Wandering River Pump Station, located at NW 19-73-16 W4M, which includes a pump station;
 - Grand Rapids Grassland Pump Station, located at NE 15-67-18 W4M, which includes a pump station; and
 - Grand Rapids Heartland Terminal, located at SE 28-55-21 W4M, which includes a tank farm and pump station.

This water quality monitoring and fish salvage plan has been prepared to support clearing and construction activities for the watercourses and fish-bearing drainages located along spread 3b (NE 6-76-15 W4M to NW 16-68-17 W4M) and spread 4 (NW 16-74-16 W4M to NE 16-68-17 W4M), which are scheduled for construction in winter 2014/2015. Construction of spreads 3b and 4 will encompass the pipeline route from approximately KP 182 + 500 to KP 266 + 000. This water quality monitoring and fish salvage plan outlines the procedures and standards for which water quality monitoring and fish salvage will take place, and demonstrates compliance with AER Condition No. 17 (Decision 2014 ABAER 012).

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED WATERCOURSE AND FISH-BEARING DRAINAGES CROSSINGS ALONG SPREADS 3B AND 4 OF THE PROPOSED PIPELINE ROUTE

Site No.	Name	Legal Location (W4M), UTM Coordinates (NAD 83, Zone 12), Latitude/ Longitude (DD-MM-SS)	Watercourse Class and Restricted Activity Period ¹	Open Water Mean Channel Morphology (m)	Fish Species Captured or Observed During Open Water Assessment (Previously Documented) ²	Beaver Activity Present	Winter 2013 Results	Recommended Pipeline Crossing Method ³	Recommended Contingency Pipeline Crossing Method	Recommended Vehicle/ Equipment Crossing Method (Frozen)	Recommended Vehicle/Equipment Crossing Method (Open Water)	Planned Pipeline Crossing Method	Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) Regulatory Requirement for Planned Crossing Methods	Qualified Aquatic Environment Specialist (QAES) Recommendations for Planned Pipeline Method	Comments
CONSTRUCTION SPREAD 3b (WINTER) NOVEMBER 2014 TO MARCH 2015 (20 INCH)															
WC21	Unnamed tributary to the Wandering River	NW 2-75-16 413109E, 6148078N 55° 28' 16.0" N/ 112° 22' 28.3" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 2.9 Wetted Width: 2.8 Water Depth: 0.4	Longnose sucker, brook stickleback (white sucker and lake chub previously documented in unnamed tributary to the Wandering River).	No	No winter data collected	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 35 km upstream from the Wandering River.
WC22	Unnamed tributary to the Wandering River	SW 21-74-16 410978E, 6142711N 55° 25' 21.1" N/ 112° 24' 23.5" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 10.9 Wetted Width: 20.1 Water Depth: 0.9	Brassy minnow, lake chub, white sucker, brook stickleback (longnose sucker previously documented in unnamed tributary to the Wandering River).	Yes - influencing water levels	Ice Depth: 0.3 Water Depth: 0.5 Dissolved Oxygen (DO): 7.0 mg/L Flow: n/r	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend water quality monitoring as per mitigation measures numbers 36 and 58 in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 20 km upstream from the Wandering River.
CONSTRUCTION SPREAD 4 (WINTER) NOVEMBER 2014 TO MARCH 2015 (20 INCH) AND NOVEMBER 2017 TO MARCH 2018 (36 INCH)															
WC23	Unnamed tributary to the Wandering River	NW 5-74-16 408895E, 6138312N 55° 22' 57.4" N/ 112° 26' 16.7" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 9.4 Wetted Width: 8.6 Water Depth: 0.5	White sucker, lake chub, finescale dace, brook stickleback, unknown cyprinid species (longnose sucker, white sucker, lake chub and brook stickleback previously documented in the unnamed tributary to the Wandering River).	Yes but not influencing water levels	Ice Depth: < 0.1 Water Depth: 0.2 DO: 11.0 mg/L Flow: < 0.1 m³/s	Option A: Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom with reclamation plan Option B: Trenchless	Option A: n/a Option B: Isolate if water present/ open cut if dry or frozen to bottom with reclamation plan	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend water quality monitoring as per mitigation measures numbers 36 and 58 in Section 8.4 of the EPP.Reclamation plan for an isolated crossing method.	Proposed crossing is approximately 15 km upstream from the Wandering River.
FD6	Fish-bearing drainage	NE 31-73-16 407965E, 6137279N 55° 22' 23.4" N/ 112° 27' 8.3" W	n/a	Bankfull Width: n/a Wetted Width: 200.0 Water Depth: 0.6	Brook stickleback, white sucker (longnose sucker, lake chub and brook stickleback previously documented in the unnamed tributary to the Wandering River).	Yes - influencing water levels	Ice Depth: < 0.1 Water Depth: FTB DO: FTB Flow: FTB	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Access from both sides	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 13 km upstream from the Wandering River.
WC24	Unnamed tributary to the Wandering River	NE 31-73-16 407823E, 6137192N 55° 22' 20.5" N/ 112° 27' 16.3" W	Unmapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 2.2 Wetted Width: 3.1 Water Depth: 0.7	White sucker, brook stickleback (longnose sucker, lake chub and brook stickleback previously documented in the unnamed tributary to the Wandering River).	Yes - influencing water levels	Ice Depth: < 0.1 Water Depth: 0.1 DO: 6.7 mg/L Flow: < 0.1 m³/s	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 13 km upstream from the Wandering River.

TABLE 1 Cont'd

Site No.	Name	Legal Location (W4M), UTM Coordinates (NAD 83, Zone 12), Latitude/ Longitude (DD-MM-SS)	Watercourse Class and Restricted Activity Period ¹	Open Water Mean Channel Morphology (m)	Fish Species Captured or Observed During Open Water Assessment (Previously Documented) ²	Beaver Activity Present	Winter 2013 Results	Recommended Pipeline Crossing Method ³	Recommended Contingency Pipeline Crossing Method	Recommended Vehicle/ Equipment Crossing Method (Frozen)	Recommended Vehicle/Equipment Crossing Method (Open Water)	Planned Pipeline Crossing Method	DFO Regulatory Requirement for Planned Crossing Methods	QAES Recommendations for Planned Pipeline Method	Comments
WC25	Wandering River	NW 18-73-16 407244E, 6132030N 55° 19' 33.2" N/ 112° 27' 43.0" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 13.6 Wetted Width: 13.6 Water Depth: 1.3	Northern pike, lake chub, longnose sucker, white sucker (walleye, burbot, finescale dace, pearl dace, fathead minnow, brook stickleback and trout-perch previously documented in the Wandering River).	No	Ice Depth: 0.3 Water Depth: 0.4 DO: 6.0 mg/L Flow: < 0.1 m³/s	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend water quality monitoring as per mitigation measures numbers 36 and 58 in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing approximately 40 km upstream from the La Biche River.
WC26	Unnamed tributary to the Wandering River	NE 12-73-17 406185E, 6130347N 55° 18' 38.0" N/ 112° 28' 41.1" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 1.6 Wetted Width: 1.2 Water Depth: 0.2	No fish captured or observed (brook stickleback, white sucker previously documented at proposed crossing. Walleye, burbot, northern pike, finescale dace, pearl dace, lake chub, fathead minnow, longnose sucker, white sucker, brook stickleback and trout-perch previously documented in the Wandering River).	No	Ice Depth: 0.2 Water Depth: 0.1 DO: 8.0 mg/L Flow: n/r	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 500 m upstream from the Wandering River.
WC27	Unnamed tributary to the Wandering River	SE 30-71-16 408066E, 6115123N 55° 10' 26.9" N/ 112° 26' 36.5" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 8.7 Wetted Width: 6.6 Water Depth: 0.4	Fathead minnow, lake chub, pearl dace, trout-perch, longnose sucker, white sucker, brook stickleback (northern pike previously documented at crossing. Walleye, burbot, northern pike, finescale dace, pearl dace, lake chub, fathead minnow, longnose sucker, white sucker, brook stickleback and trout-perch previously documented in the Wandering River).	Yes - influencing water levels	Ice Depth: 0.1 Water Depth: 0.6 DO: 12.0 mg/L Velocity: 0.2-0.5 m/s	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend water quality monitoring as per mitigation measures numbers 36 and 58 in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 1 km upstream from the Wandering River.
FD7	Fish-bearing drainage	NW 23-70-17 405178E, 6104407N 55° 4' 38.5" N/ 112° 29' 6.9" W	n/a	Bankfull Width: n/a Wetted Width: 14.3 Water Depth: 0.5	Brook stickleback (brook stickleback, fathead minnow previously documented at the proposed crossing. Burbot, yellow perch, goldeye, northern pike, lake chub, flathead chub, spottail shiner, white sucker, longnose sucker and trout-perch previously documented in the La Biche River).	Yes – influencing water levels	Ice Depth: 0.4 Water Depth: 0.6 DO: 3.5 mg/L Flow: < 0.1 m³/s	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Access from both sides	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend a fish salvage be conducted if water present at the time of construction, as per mitigation measures in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 3 km upstream from the La Biche River.
WC28	La Biche River	SW 35-68-17 404565E, 6087930N 54° 55' 45.2" N/ 112° 29' 21.6" W	Mapped Class C April 16 to July 15	Bankfull Width: 31.2 Wetted Width: 27.1 Water Depth: 1.1	Yellow perch, white sucker (burbot, yellow perch, goldeye, northern pike, lake chub, flathead chub, spottail shiner, white sucker, longnose sucker and trout-perch previously documented in the La Biche River).	No	Ice Depth: 0.4 Water Depth: 0.4 DO: n/r Flow: 1.7 m³/s	Isolate if water present/open cut if dry or frozen to bottom	n/a	Snowfill/ice bridge	Clear span bridge	Trenchless ⁴	Meets DFO's self-assessment process if all QAES recommendations and mitigation measures are implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implement mitigation measures as per Section 8.4 of the EPP.Recommend water quality monitoring as per mitigation measures numbers 36 and 58 in Section 8.4 of the EPP.	Proposed crossing is approximately 50 km upstream from the Athabasca River.

Notes:

1

Determined from the Code of Practice Management Area Maps for Lac La Biche and St. Paul (Alberta Environment [AENV] 2006).

2

Fisheries and Wildlife Management Information System 2014.

3

A trenchless pipeline crossing method can be used at any proposed crossing.

n/a

(not applicable), n/r (not recorded), FTB (frozen to bottom).

2.0 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

The water quality monitoring sampling methods provided below have been designed to represent appropriate monitoring measures for both trenchless and trenched isolation watercourse crossing methods. If environmental conditions warrant adjustments to the water quality monitoring plan, the on-site QAES will define appropriate water quality monitoring plan refinements.

2.1 Water Quality Sampling Rationale

Water quality monitoring is needed where flow volumes and velocities are sufficient to transport sediment downstream of the construction site where suitable fish habitat is present. Water quality monitoring is not needed when the watercourse is dry or frozen to the bottom at the time of construction, or if there is insufficient flow to cause the downstream transport of sediment.

If water is present during construction at a watercourse, a qualified aquatic environment specialist (QAES) will determine if water quality monitoring is needed based on:

- the stream flow conditions (*i.e.*, flow volume and velocity) on-site during the proposed construction timing;
- the on-site water quality as it relates to fish health during the proposed construction timing;
- the quality and proximity of fish habitat within the zone of influence (ZOI); and
- fish species present downstream of the crossing location.

2.2 Spread 3b and 4 Crossings – Sampling Rationale

Water quality monitoring is not recommended on any wetlands or the two fish-bearing drainages (FD6 and FD7) along spreads 3b and 4 due to their minimal flow velocities and associated lack of connectivity with downstream fish habitat; however, fish salvages may be required depending on the conditions found on-site during construction.

Typically, water quality monitoring is not needed on watercourses with a bankfull width less than 5 m due to reduced flows and limited fish habitat values (overwintering) during winter construction. For the three watercourses in spreads 3b and 4 (WC21, WC24 and WC26) with bankfull widths less than 5 m and where water is present during construction, water quality monitoring is not needed since reduced flows and limited fish habitat are anticipated at these sites.

Watercourses with a bankfull width greater than 5 m have a higher potential for providing suitable overwintering habitat and may have sufficient flow to transport suspended sediment substantial distances downstream of the crossing location. There are four watercourses in spreads 3b and 4 (WC22, WC23, WC25 and WC27) with bankfull widths greater than 5 m. Water quality monitoring needs will be determined by a QAES prior to instream construction for these four watercourses. As much lead-time as possible will be given to the QAES in order to schedule appropriate activities. Confirmation of flow and suitable fish habitat will be conducted prior to setting up the water quality monitoring equipment. Please see Sections 2.6 and 2.7 for further information regarding the frequency of water quality monitoring sampling during instream activities and in the event of an increase in turbidity.

A trenchless crossing is planned on one watercourse, the La Biche River (WC28), along spreads 3b and 4; water quality monitoring will be conducted at this site based on the anticipated stream flow conditions and fish habitat values present at this site.

Daily updates from the construction representatives regarding the drill progress, isolation progress and any notable construction events will need to be provided to the water quality monitoring crew on-site. Notable events that have the potential to influence turbidity will be documented and reported where necessary.

2.3 Water Quality Guidelines

To ensure the protection of aquatic resources during construction, provincial and federal guidelines have been established for both short-term exposure (*i.e.*, 24 hours) and long-term exposure (*i.e.*, between 24 hours and 30 days) to suspended sediment. Federally, the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) has developed guidelines for TSS and turbidity in the *Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for Protection of Aquatic Life* (CCME 2007). Provincially, Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development (AESRD) has developed guidelines for TSS in the *Surface Water Quality Guidelines for Use in Alberta* (AENV 1999). The CCME guidelines for TSS and turbidity and AESRD guidelines for TSS are provided in Table 2.

For isolated trenched crossings, increases in turbidity/TSS levels are expected during the installation and removal of isolation dams. These increases in turbidity/TSS levels typically return to background levels within the short-term exposure (*i.e.*, 24 hours) timelines, however, some increases may return to background levels within the long-term exposure (*i.e.*, between 24 hours and 30 days) timelines. Water quality monitoring will encompass these turbidity/TSS increases and will show when turbidity/TSS levels have returned to background levels.

TABLE 2
CCME AND AESRD GUIDELINES FOR TSS AND TURBIDITY

Duration of Exceedance	Water Quality Parameter Exceedance Level		
	CCME		AESRD
	TSS	Turbidity ¹	TSS
CLEAR FLOW PERIODS			
Short-term exposure (i.e., 24 hours)	Maximum average increase of 25 mg/L from background levels.	Maximum average increase of 8 Nephelometric Turbidity (NTU) from background levels.	Not to be increased by more than 10 mg/L over background value for acute and chronic aquatic life toxicity levels.
Long-term exposure (i.e., between 24 hours and 30 days)	Maximum average increase of 5 mg/L from background levels.	Maximum average increase of 2 NTU from background levels.	
HIGH FLOW PERIODS			
Short-term exposure (i.e., 24 hours)	Maximum increase of 25 mg/L from background levels at any time when background levels are between 25 and 250 mg/L. Should not increase more than 10% of background levels when background is greater than 250 mg/L.	Maximum increase of 8 NTU from background levels at any time one time when background levels are between 8 and 80 NTU. Should not increase more than 10% of background levels when background is greater than 80 NTU.	Not to be increased by more than 10 mg/L over background value for acute and chronic aquatic life toxicity levels.
Long-term exposure (i.e., between 24 hours and 30 days)			

Note: 1 Turbidity is measured in NTU.

2.4 Turbidity and Total Suspended Solids Monitoring

For watercourse crossings in spreads 3b and 4, turbidity will be measured on-site and the CCME guidelines for turbidity will be followed.

If no water quality impacting events (*e.g.*, drilling mud release) occur during construction, TSS samples will not need to be collected. If a water quality impacting event (*e.g.*, drilling mud release) occurs during construction, it is recommended that TSS samples be collected and analyzed, and a TSS/turbidity correlation be established. If an event occurs, water samples for TSS can be collected and sent to an accredited laboratory for analysis. Laboratory TSS data can then be correlated to turbidity data and a relationship can be established for the remainder of construction. There is no requirement to conduct TSS/turbidity correlations prior to construction.

A correlation between turbidity and TSS is often unique for each location or situation. Typically, this relationship is established by collecting samples over the range of TSS concentrations that occur during a sediment event while simultaneously recording turbidity readings. After the TSS sample analysis results are obtained from the lab, a relationship between TSS and turbidity can be determined. This relationship then allows turbidity results to be directly compared to exceedance criteria for TSS.

2.5 Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Water quality monitoring typically occurs within the established ZOI of a crossing. The ZOI is the reach of the watercourse that has the highest potential to be impacted from construction activities associated with a proposed watercourse crossing. The length of the ZOI is determined in the field based on a variety of factors (e.g., channel depth, flow velocity and channel morphology). The ZOI typically represents the area of the watercourse where 90% of the sediment load caused by construction activities is expected to fall out of suspension and be deposited (AENV 1999, Government of Alberta 2013).

Transect locations will be determined by a QAES and strategically located to help facilitate documenting the exponential decay of any sediment mobilization events that occur as the distance downstream increases. Table 3 provides an example of the placement of transects relative to the crossing location for a small watercourse with a ZOI of 400 m. Larger watercourses with a larger ZOI may require additional transects. Transect locations will vary per site based on water velocity, water depth, wetted width, uniformity of flow and safety as well as other site-specific variables. For the La Biche River (WC28), transects will be set-up at approximately 100 m upstream from the crossing location (background), at the crossing location, and at approximately 100 m, 200 m, 400 m and 800 m downstream of the crossing location. Each transect will include a sonde, recording turbidity data at 10 minute intervals throughout the drill as well as manual sampling. The location, duration and magnitude of effects from increased turbidity will be augmented during daylight hours with manual sampling conducted simultaneously with sonde data. This set-up is designed to detect construction-related effects (e.g., drilling mud release) under the ice.

To ensure adequate sampling and that the water quality monitoring crew can cover the entire circuit regularly during daylight hours, the number of sampling stations across all transects (except those upstream of the crossing) has been set at three, although this will be dependent on the site conditions and the discretion of the water quality monitoring crew.

TABLE 3

EXAMPLE SAMPLING TRANSECTS RELATIVE TO THE CROSSING LOCATION FOR A SMALL WATERCOURSE

Transect No.	Example Transect Relative to the Crossing Location	No. of Monitoring Stations at Transect	Water Quality Sampling Method
T1 (control transect)	100 m upstream of the crossing	One in the thalweg	Data sonde and manual sampling
T2	At the crossing	Three across the channel with one in the thalweg	Manual sampling at all three stations
T3	50 m downstream of the crossing	Three across the channel with one in the thalweg	Data sonde in the thalweg and manual sampling at all three stations
T4	100 m downstream of the crossing	Three across the channel with one in the thalweg	Data sonde in the thalweg and manual sampling at all three stations
T5	200 m downstream of the crossing	Three across the channel with one in the thalweg	Data sonde in the thalweg and manual sampling at all three stations
T6 (if necessary)	400 m downstream of the crossing	Three across the channel with one in the thalweg	Data sonde in the thalweg and manual sampling at all three stations

As T1 (*i.e.*, upstream of the crossing) is assumed to be the least likely of these transects to be affected by construction activities, turbidity and TSS data collected here will serve as a control and will represent the theoretical background levels throughout construction. As such, the establishment of a single monitoring station where access is most convenient for the sampling crew (*e.g.*, along either bank) will be sufficient. By sampling within the thalweg, a single station at T1 will also sufficiently capture background turbidity and TSS levels.

Conditions permitting, monitoring stations may be maintained at each of the remaining transects (*i.e.*, one in the thalweg and one on either side of the thalweg at approximately half the remaining distance to each bank). In theory, this should allow the magnitude and duration of mobilized sediment (regardless of where it occurs) to be tracked at multiple locations downstream within the expected ZOI. The number of monitoring stations may increase depending on wetted width and fish habitat present at the time of construction.

Data sondes and manual sampling stations will be established as indicated in Table 3. One data sonde will be installed upstream from the crossing site, preferably at T1. The remaining sondes will be installed downstream from the crossing. Downstream from the crossing, the sondes will be installed in the sampling station associated with the channel's thalweg. It is expected that the sondes will be anchored in place either to the left or right bank, or to the watercourse bed.

If a transect cannot be established in the area as described in this plan, it is expected that the transect will be moved to another suitable location. If a monitoring station cannot be established along a transect in the area as described in this plan, it is expected that the station(s) will be moved to another suitable location along the same transect and will remain the same distance from the right-of-way. Rationale for moving transect(s) and/or station(s) will be documented and included in reporting.

The water quality monitoring crew will determine the most appropriate method of travel on-site. Since the crew may be travelling by foot, snowmobile or boat, it is realistic to expect that two or three manual monitoring passes will be possible under normal monitoring circumstances. In the interest of crew safety, monitoring crew(s) will only be on-site during daylight hours, regardless of whether HDD construction occurs around the clock.

2.6 Data Logging

Sampling for turbidity will primarily occur with the use of data sondes secured at several sampling transects. Each sonde will be programmed to record turbidity in NTU at 10-minute intervals on a 24-hour basis. The monitoring crew will access and upload data collected at least once a day during daylight hours. In addition, at least one of the data sondes may be equipped with a satellite telemetry unit, enabling the near "real time" remote observation of monitoring data from this location. Data from this station can be made available to construction management, environmental inspection and Project management staff for *in situ* updates during the construction period. Consideration will also be given to ensuring that the unit includes an alarm feature that will provide a warning to the night shift, enabling the Environmental Inspector, construction and monitoring staff to evaluate the situation/conditions and respond appropriately (*i.e.*, at the discretion of the Environmental Inspector).

2.7 Manual Water Quality Monitoring

Supplemental manual water quality monitoring will also occur at each station using a hand-held turbidity meter. Manual water quality monitoring will be used to verify data sonde readings and to supplement data loggers at high priority areas based on the construction schedule or in the event of a frac-out.

Manual sampling will occur in daylight hours only during normal construction activities. A water quality monitoring crew will not be present during the night shift, unless specified by Grand Rapids. Data collected by the sondes during the night shift will enable the collection of data around the clock, but will also alleviate potential safety risks associated with having a crew in/around the watercourse at night. If an emergency arises (*i.e.*, frac-out) during the night shift, the water quality monitoring crew may be summoned to the site at the discretion of the Environmental Inspector.

When collecting water samples for turbidity analysis, the scoop to collect water will be triple-rinsed prior to sample collection. The sample will be collected from 60% depth. The sampling cuvette will be rinsed with deionized or distilled water between samples. The cuvette will be filled from the scoop with no rinses to prevent settling of the sediment and will be cleaned with a lint-free cloth before being placed into the meter to obtain a turbidity measurement. Turbidity measurements will be taken immediately once the water is poured into the cuvette to limit particle settlement. Samples that are not measured quickly will be mixed prior to obtaining a turbidity reading.

2.8 Total Suspended Solids Sampling

Throughout the construction period, if a frac-out is suspected, the water quality monitoring crew will collect approximately 30 TSS samples per watercourse for submission to an approved laboratory for analysis of TSS levels. TSS samples will be collected from all transects and station locations and over a range of turbidity levels that are observed.

Only sealed sample bottles provided by a laboratory will be used to collect TSS samples. Once the sample bottle is filled with water, a subsample will be poured into a sampling cuvette and turbidity will be measured. Once this value is recorded, it will also be written on the sample bottle along with the date, time and location of the sample, the sample identification number, crew initials, and the name of the watercourse from which it was taken. TSS water samples will be refrigerated immediately and transported to an approved laboratory for analyses as soon as possible, and in accordance with the laboratory's chain of custody form (additional storage and transportation requirements may be provided by the laboratory when the sample bottles are provided).

2.9 Standard Monitoring Activities for Horizontal Directional Drill Crossings

The following standard monitoring activities will be used to ensure a potential frac-out event is identified as quickly as possible, and any potential effects to aquatic and riparian resources from a frac-out event can be mitigated at trenchless crossings.

- Prior to commencing any drilling operations, the Crossing Contractor will clearly flag the entire expected drill path, including both sides of the watercourse channel, for quick reference in the event of a potential fracture.
- Grand Rapids or the Crossing Contractor will provide to the water quality monitoring crew the Directional Drilling Procedures and Instream Drilling Mud Release Contingency Plan that explains the specific tasks that will be completed if fluid loss is detected, or should an inadvertent release of drilling fluid occur.
- During the pilot hole drilling and reaming phases, and in addition to water quality monitoring, the Crossing Contractor will also monitor for the loss of drilling fluid. This will consist of closely scrutinizing the amount of fluid returns coming to the drill pit or shaker tank and monitoring the amount of make-up drilling fluid required in the mixing tanks.
- During the pilot hole drilling and any subsequent phases, the Crossing Contractor will implement and follow Annular Pressure Monitoring Protocol (AP). AP would be used to measure the pressure between the wall of the bore hole and the drill pipe in the bore hole. The pressure during the drill will be modelled prior to any drilling activities. The drilling will then proceed by adhering to this pre-determined pressure profile. The AP will be followed during the entire drill in the event that a pressure loss and/or a pressure spike are observed. The Crossing Contractor will make the necessary changes to the drilling activities to ensure that pressures keep within the pre-calculated pressure profile.
- An Electronic Drilling Recorder will also document all drilling activities and mud volumes. This information will be documented on a continuous basis and be maintained by the drilling personnel in order to correlate drilling status with potential seepage events.
- Onshore monitoring of the drill path will be conducted by the Crossing Contractor's personnel, who will be positioned in the most advantageous locations to watch for any sign of surface migration at all times during drilling, reaming and pipe installation procedures. The Crossing Contractor will provide personnel to walk the drill path and its vicinity on each side of the alignment after every 100 m drilled, and/or at 4-hour intervals during drilling operations unless safety concerns require the frequency to be altered to monitor for surface seepage.
- A detailed observation sheet will be kept on-site for all onshore monitoring of the HDD bore path.
- The Crossing Contractor will provide all monitoring documentation (*i.e.*, pressures, mud volumes and onshore) to the on-site Environmental Inspector daily or as requested.
- A named Crossing Contractor representative responsible for drilling will be on-site at all times during drilling, reaming and pipe installation procedures to ensure that all preventative measures, and, if necessary, emergency response measures, are implemented effectively.
- This Crossing Contractor representative will keep the on-site Environmental Inspector informed of the drilling program status on a daily basis in writing.

- Visual and voice contact will be maintained at all times between all drilling and monitoring personnel to ensure that any operational changes are communicated immediately and effectively between the observation personnel and drilling rig operators. Hand-held two-way radio communications provided by the Crossing Contractor will also be utilized.
- Contingency plans for monitoring and communications, reporting, clean-up and continuance of drilling activities will be in place for addressing inadvertent mud returns.
- In the event of sediment releases or spills of deleterious substances during the construction of the trenchless crossings, implement the Directional Drilling Procedures and Instream Drilling Mud Release Contingency Plan (Appendix 1E of the EPP). The Crossing Contractor will alert the on-site Environmental Inspector and conduct a detailed examination of the drill path.
- The Crossing Contractor and/or Construction Contractor will have additional personnel available to assist in emergency response implementation. Water quality monitoring personnel are expected to continue water quality monitoring so they can document the impacts and extent of the frac-out, and will not be used to supplement crews implementing the emergency response.

2.10 Safety Considerations

The water quality monitoring crew at each watercourse will be comprised of two TERA staff. TERA staff will be Aquatic Specialists deployed from Calgary who are certified Swift Water Rescue Technicians and Ice Rescue Technicians – Level 1 during frozen conditions. If a boat is needed, the water quality monitoring crew will be certified pleasure craft operators. If the monitoring crew at any point detects unsafe conditions, adjustments to the monitoring plan will occur on-site and as conditions permit.

TERA's Standard Operating Procedures for conducting water quality monitoring during open water and frozen conditions may also need to be approved by Grand Rapids and the Construction Manager before water quality monitoring activities occur. The aquatic environment surrounding the crossing location will be visited immediately prior to construction to assess conditions and/or to install appropriate safety measures/techniques to mitigate potential hazards. If the water quality monitoring crew is deployed to the site and they confirm that safe open water and/or ice conditions exist, the water quality monitoring program will be implemented. If unsafe conditions cannot be mitigated to enable the implementation of the water quality monitoring plan as provided, adjustments to the plan will be made on-site and as conditions permit. If water quality monitoring is not possible due to safety concerns, the Environmental Inspector will notify applicable regulatory agencies.

During frozen conditions, an initial ice assessment is required to establish a safe water quality monitoring route. It is recommended that a crew of two to three people be utilized for the initial ice assessment for safety precautions. The crew will establish the monitoring transects while assessing ice thickness and strength to establish a safe route to utilize for daily water quality monitoring activities. Depending on ice conditions, anchor and belay systems may be required. TERA's ice safety assessment and establishment of a safe water quality monitoring route is intended for TERA personnel only (*i.e.*, subcontractors and/or equipment will travel on the ice at their discretion). After the initial ice assessment and establishment of a safe water quality monitoring route, the crews will conduct ongoing ice assessments, especially if weather and flow conditions change. If the monitoring crew detects unsafe conditions at any point, adjustments to the monitoring plan will be implemented on-site, as conditions permit. Ice safety procedures and safe ice assessments will be done in accordance with TERA's Ice Safety Manual (TERA Environmental Consultants 2014).

3.0 FISH SALVAGE

The fish salvage crew at each watercourse will be comprised of two TERA staff. These staff will be Aquatic Specialists deployed from Calgary who are certified Swift Water Rescue Technicians, Ice Rescue Technicians, and electrofishing crew leads and members. The fish salvages will be conducted by the water quality monitoring crew (led by a QAES) under the terms of the Project Fish Research License (FRL).

A fish salvage will be conducted at trenched crossings at all watercourses and fish-bearing drainages if water is present at the time of construction. Following the installation of the isolation dams and when directed by the construction team that it is safe to do so, the water quality monitoring crew will proceed to capture and relocate the fish from the isolated work area. The water quality monitoring crew will determine the most appropriate method of capturing fish from within the isolated area to ensure fish can be captured and relocated without harm. Capturing methods may involve backpack electrofishing, seine netting and/or dip-netting. Electrofishing will be conducted by trained personnel using a Smith Root backpack electrofisher under the appropriate settings and in adherence to the Alberta Fisheries Management Division *Electrofishing Policy Respecting Injuries to Fish*. If injuries/casualties occur, the appropriate documenting and reporting will also occur. Salvage efforts within the isolated area of the crossing will occur until effort no longer yields the capture of fish, at the discretion of the on-site QAES.

Water levels may need to be lowered to allow for the effective capture of all fish in the isolated work area. The water quality monitoring crew will communicate with the construction team to lower water levels as needed until all fish have been removed from the isolation. Grey water that is pumped out of the isolated work area will be pumped onto land so that it will not introduce sediment into the watercourse and fish screens will be used on the intake hose to avoid harming fish.

Any fish captured will be held live in buckets or tubs with ample fresh stream water for short periods in order to identify, enumerate and measure them, as per the requirements of the FRL, prior to release. If fish sampling efforts produce greater than 100 fish of the same non-sportfish species, an enumerated subsample of that species will be taken. Fish will be released downstream of the construction site into similar habitat from which they were captured.

If a watercourse crossing is isolated for more than 14 consecutive days, a QAES will conduct a migration survey to determine if fish are congregating near the isolation and attempting to migrate upstream or downstream. If fish are congregating, it is recommended that they be moved around the isolation following the above fish salvage protocol in order to continue their migration.

4.0 REPORTING

As part of the AER conditions outlined in Appendix 1 of the AER Decision, Condition No. 18 of AER Decision 2014 ABAER 012 includes immediate reporting to the AER in the event a suspended solids exceedance occurs:

“Any exceedance of AESRD’s suspended sediment thresholds must be reported to AER immediately and appropriate mitigation measures must be implemented.”

In the event that any of AESRD's TSS or turbidity thresholds are exceeded, or in the event that unforeseen circumstances or issues are encountered during construction, a detailed report will be prepared and provided to the appropriate regulatory agencies within prescribed timelines (e.g., within 7 days to the province), as required. Turbidity and TSS results will be correlated to the sequence of construction activities so that resultant effects on water quality, if any, can be determined and quantified. Potential adverse effects of sediment suspension during construction will be discussed in the context of the duration, magnitude and distance of travel of the plume(s).

In addition to immediate reporting, TERA will prepare a letter report for Grand Rapids upon the completion of the water quality monitoring and fish salvage of the crossings constructed along spreads 3b and 4 of the pipeline route.

5.0 REFERENCES

5.1 Literature Cited

- Alberta Energy Regulator. 2014. Decision 2014 ABAER 012: Grand Rapids Pipeline GP Ltd., Applications for the Grand Rapids Pipeline Project. Calgary, AB.
- Alberta Environment. 1999. Surface Water Quality Guidelines for Use in Alberta. Environmental Assurance Division, Science and Standards Branch, Alberta Environment. Edmonton, AB. Publication T/483.
- Alberta Environment. 2006. Lac La Biche Management Area. *Water Act* Code of Practice Management Area Maps. Prepared by Alberta Environment and Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Finance and Administration Division, Resource Information Management Branch, Government of Alberta.
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. 2007. Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Summary Table. Update 7.1 in Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. Winnipeg, MB.
- Fisheries and Wildlife Management Information System. 2014. Fish and Wildlife Service, Alberta Sustainable Resource Development. Website:
https://maps.srd.alberta.ca/FWIMT_Pub/default.aspx?Viewer=FWIMT_Pub.
Accessed: October 2014.
- Government of Alberta. 2013. *Code of Practice for Watercourse Crossings*. Government of Alberta.
- TERA Environmental Consultants. 2014. Ice Safety Manual. TERA Environmental Consultants. Calgary, AB.
- Grand Rapids Pipeline GP Ltd. 2014a. Environmental Protection Plan for the Proposed Grand Rapids Pipeline Project (Green Area). Calgary, AB.
- Grand Rapids Pipeline GP Ltd. 2014b. Environmental Protection Plan for the Proposed Grand Rapids Pipeline Project (White Area). Calgary, AB.